Proceedings of IETC2007

NEAR EAST
UNIVERSITY
1988

7th International Educational Technology Conference

`Educational Technology for
Innovation and Change in Education`

Volume: II

sakarya
Üniversitesi
http://www.sakarya.edu.tr

TOJET

KEBD
CJES
2004
CYPRIOT
JOURNAL of
EDUCATIONAL
SCIENCES

IETC 2007

PROCEEDINGS of
7th INTERNATIONAL EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY CONFERENCE

03-05 May 2007

NEAR EAST UNIVERSITY
NICOSIA – NORTH CYPRUS

VOLUME II

VIII

IX

The University of Tennessee College of Education. Health and Human Services.

Stufflebeam, D. L. (2001). Evaluation models. *New directions for evaluation, 89*, 7–98.

Topping, K. J., Smith, E. F., Swanson, I., & Elliot, A. (2000). Formative peer assessment of academic writing between postgraduate students. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 25*(2), 149-169.

Weston, C., Mc Alpine, L., & Bordonaro, T. (1995) A model for understanding formative evaluation in instructional design. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 43*(3), 29-48.

Winsor, P., Butt, R.L., & Reeves, H. (1999). Portraying professional development in preservice teacher education. *Teachers & Teaching, 5* (1), 33-59.

Yilmaz, H. (2007). *Identification of academic program strengths and weaknesses through use of an automated tool.* Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA.

FIRST YEAR PRESERVICE TEACHERS' ATTITUDES TOWARD COMPUTERS FROM COMPUTER EDUCATION AND INSTRUCTIONAL TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT

Ilker Yakin, Evren Sumuer
Middle East Technical University, Turkey
iyakin@metu.edu.tr, sumuer@metu.edu.tr

ABSTRACT

The purpose of the study is to explore the attitudes of first year university students towards computers. The study focuses on preservice teachers (N=46) included 33 male and 12 female from Middle East Technical University, Computer Education and Instructional Technology (CEIT) department. The study is delimited to first grade preservice teachers of CEIT department because computers are indispensable not only for their academic program but also for their professional development as teachers. The questionnaire included twenty items and demographics of the participants. Four independent variables; gender, having a computer, years of computer experience and competencies of computer skills; and one dependent variable (item scores) compose the instrument. The results of questionnaire were carefully scrutinized by statistical methods. Recommendations were provided for further studies to yield more application concerning attitudes toward computers.

Keywords: Attitudes toward computers, preservice teachers, computer competency

INTRODUCTION

In today's global word, incorporation of information and communication technology into different sectors is inescapable; come out of the growth and widespread usage of computers. Peoples' attitudes toward computers are the one of the main determinative factors to see fit to accept and reject of the use of computers. In education, literature states a variety of researches have been conducted to understand attitudes toward computers among teachers about computer technology usage in the classroom environments. Because teachers' attitudes to computers form the clear factor for successful implementations and usage of activities based on computer technologies, preservice teachers' attitudes become as a part of achievement for integration of computers in educational environments. As Selwyn (1999) stated the successful integration depends on teachers' and students' attitudes toward them. Moreover, students from the environments, where computers are used intensively, are more likely to develop more refined attitudes to computers (Palaigeorgiou, Siozos, Konstantakis & Tsoukalas, 2005).

As Whitley (1997) stated, the computer attitude scales have been developed in different contents. Computers related to anxiety about using computers, self-confidence in dealing with computers, the acceptability of computers by professionals, positive and negative beliefs about computers and their effects on society and computer-related behaviors have been investigated as multifaced constructs. As for preservice teachers' attitudes toward computers, Kay (2006) reviewed strategies used to incorporate technology into preservice education. Ten key strategies were determined in a review of computer attitude, ability and use. Computer attitude has been considered as a one of the key dependent variable in the vast majority of technology in the review. In other study, Yildirim (2000) pointed out the importance of previous computer experience and preservice and inservice teachers' competency as determinative effects of their attitudes.

METHOD

Participants

The study included 46 first year preservice teachers, included 12 female and 33 male, from Middle East Technical University, Computer Education and Instructional Technology (CEIT) department. Demographics of participants are presented in Table 1 in detail.

Table 1: Demographics of Participants

		Gender		
		Female	Male	Total
Having a PC	Yes	10	29	39
	No	2	4	6
Years of Computer Use	1-3 years	2	5	7
	3-5 years	5	6	11
	More than 5 years	5	22	27
Competency	Novice	0	0	0
	Intermediate	4	10	14
	Competent	8	23	31

Instrumentation

The instrument which was translated from the study of Smith and Oosthuizen (2006) has been scrutinized and adapted slightly to suite the Turkish language and statistically analyzed. The questionnaire was developed to explore the attitudes of first year university students towards computers including twenty-item on a 5-Likert scale (from strongly agree to strongly disagree) and demographic questions. The survey included four independent variables (gender, having a computer, the years of computer experience, and the computer competency) and one dependent variable.

Computer competency, as one of the independent variable were determined via the scale, included the instrument. It has eight items on a 3-Likert type, indicating computer competencies from not familiar to proficient. For data analysis part, the first year preservice teachers were divided into three levels of competency; novice, intermediate and competent.

Factors, as dependent variable had been identified in a large scale of the research and literature by Smith and Oosthuizen (2006). The factors were identified as follows:

Factor1: This factor was labelled as "fear of computer power". It loaded high on variables with a negative connotation with respect to computer power over people and effect on society.

Factor2: This factor was labelled as "beneficial tool of man" and loads high on variables relating to positive impacts of the technology and benefits to the economy.

Factor3: Variables contained in this factor was labeled as "appreciation of computer power".

Factor4: This factor was also labelled as "negative sentiments towards computers".

Factor5: This factor is labelled as "awesome thinking machines, or naive concern". It loads high on variables that depict computers as something strange and astounding (p.357).

Overall Design And Procedure

Since the study aimed to obtain data to determine specific characteristics of a group, a none-experimental survey research design was employed. Data were obtained in first year preservice teachers on voluntarily basis.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Firstly, the differences in perspectives between gender in relation the factors were statistically checked by Mann-Whitney U Test, due to the small size of sample and groups. Analyses demonstrated that there were no significant differences among factors in associated to genders (Table 2).

Table 2: Differences between genders in relation to factors

Factors	Gender	n	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks	U	p
Factor 1	Female	12	19.71	236.50	158 .50	.32
	Male	33	24.20	798.50		
Factor 2	Female	12	21.50	258.00	180 .00	.66
	Male	33	23.55	777.00		
Factor 3	Female	12	20.58	247.00	169 .00	.47
	Male	33	23.88	788.00		
Factor 4	Female	12	22.08	265.00	187 .00	.79
	Male	33	23.33	770.00		
Factor 5	Female	12	21.29	255.50	177 .50	.60
	Male	33	23.62	779.50		

It can be seen from Table 2 that factors do not vary significantly with gender differences.

Secondly, the differences in perspectives between having a computer in relation the factors were statistically checked by Mann-Whitney U Test. Analyses demonstrated that there was a significant difference among Factor3 in associated to having a computer (Table 3).

Table 3: Differences between having a PC or not in relation to factors

Factors	Having a computer	n	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks	U	p
Factor 1	Yes	39	23.21	905.00	109.00	.79
	No	6	21.67	130.00		
Factor 2	Yes	39	22.37	872.50	92.50	.41
	No	6	27.08	162.50		
Factor 3	Yes	39	21.36	833.00	53.00	.03
	No	6	33.67	202.00		
Factor 4	Yes	39	22.85	891.00	111.00	.84
	No	6	24.00	144.00		
Factor 5	Yes	39	23.71	924.50	89.50	.37
	No	6	18.42	110.50		

From Table 3, it is interpreted that there was a difference in Factor 3, as labelled appreciation of computer power, across the having a computer. If sum of rank value is taken into consideration, preservice teachers who do not have a computer have lower score on the appreciation of computer power than those who have a computer.

For the analysis of the differences in perspectives between the years of computer experiences in relation the factors were checked by Kruskal-Wallis H-test, a rank test that may be applied samples that are unequal in size where the distribution does not obey the normal parametric distribution (Ferguson & Takane, 1999), was used. The ranks for each sample are summed and their means are checked for if there is any significant difference in Table 4. Analyses demonstrated that there were no significant differences among factors in associated to years of computer experiences.

Table 4: Differences between the years of computer use

Factors	Years of Computer Experience	n	Mean Rank	SD	χ^2	P
Factor 1	1-3 years	7	24.71	2	.19	.91
	3-5 years	11	23.41			
	More than 5 years	27	22.39			
Factor 2	1-3 years	7	12.93	2	5.54	.06
	3-5 years	11	22.41			
	More than 5 years	27	25.85			
Factor 3	1-3 years	7	14.93	2	3.27	.20
	3-5 years	11	25.36			
	More than 5 years	27	24.13			
Factor 4	1-3 years	7	24.36	2	1.69	.43
	3-5 years	11	18.68			
	More than 5 years	27	24.41			
Factor 5	1-3 years	7	18.71	2	.96	.62
	3-5 years	11	24.41			
	More than 5 years	27	23.54			

Table 4 makes it clear that there were no significant differences found for f actors. It seems that factors do not vary significantly with years of computer experience.

Lastly, the differences in perspectives between computer competencies in relation the factors were statistically checked by Mann-Whitney U Test. Analyses demonstrated that there was a significant difference among Factor2 in associated to computer competency (Table 5).

Table 5: Differences between computer competencies

Factors	Computer Competency	n	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks	U	p
Factor 1	Intermediate	14	22.32	312.5	207.5	.82
	Competent	31	23.31	722.5		
Factor 2	Intermediate	14	16.32	228.5	123.5	.02
	Competent	31	26.02	806.5		
Factor 3	Intermediate	14	19.68	275.5	170.5	.25
	Competent	31	24.50	759.5		
Factor 4	Intermediate	14	24.64	345.0	194.0	.56
	Competent	31	22.26	690.0		
Factor 5	Intermediate	14	23.00	322.0	217.0	1.0
	Competent	31	23.00	713.0		

As the Mann-Whitney U Test results in the Table 5 indicated there was a significant difference between Factor 2, as labeled beneficial tool of man, and computer competencies. If sum of ranks are taken into consideration, preservice teachers who are in competent level believed more positive impacts of the computers and its benefits of the economy than those who are in intermediate levels.

As a conclusion, there were no significantly differences between factors (fear of computer power, negative sentiments toward computers, appreciation of computers, impression of computers, and positive impacts of computers) and genders and year of computer experiences of the first grade preservice teachers. Moreover, preservice teachers who have a computer have higher score on the appreciation of computer power and find them extremely accurate and exact. Likewise, preservice teachers are differed in that competency levels are determinative for factor 2. Preservice teachers who are in intermediate level have less conviction of the impacts of computers. Competent preservice teachers give a more importance to computers' potential to bring about a better life for the average person and speed up scientific progress than intermediate levels.

RECOMMENDATION

1. Preservice teachers who are in intermediate level should be supported to reach to competent level so that the belief of positive impacts of the technology and its benefits to the economy and society can be sustained.

2. Supplementary instructional programs should be organized and applied to facilitate the progression

among the competency levels.

3. The rate of preservice teachers who have a computer should be increased and technology related activities should be provided in their university education as to provide appreciation of computer power.

FURTHER STUDIES AND LIMITATION

In addition to attempt to explore the attitudes of first year preservice teachers towards computers presented in this study, additional researches would help further the understanding of the specific attitudes and its its related reasons. More investigations into specific determination of other factors might provide better understandings of   preservice teachers' attitudes toward computer and technology. The results of this study are limited by the small number of participants and department which were selected and the factors we focused.

REFERENCES

Ferguson, G. A., & Takane Y. (1989). *Statistical Analysis in Psychology and Education.* Mc Graw-Hill Company.

Kay, R.H. (2006). Evaluating Strategies Used To Incorporate Technology Into Preservice Education: A Review Of the Literature. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education,* 38 (4), 383-408.

Palaigeorgiou, G.E., Siozos, P.D., Konstantakis, N.I. & Tsoukalas, I.A. (2005). A computer attitude scale for computer science. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning,* 21, 330-342.

Selwyn N. (1999) Students' attitudes towards computers in sixteen to nineteen education. *Education and Information Technologies,* 4 (2), 129–141.

Smith, E. & Oosthuizen, H.J. (2006). Attitudes of entry-level University students towards computers: a comparitive study. *Computers & Education,* 47 (3), 352-371.

Yildirim, S. (2000). Effects of an Educational computing Course on Preservice and Inservice Teachers: A Discussion and Analysis of Attitudes and Use. *Journal of research on Computing in Education,* 32 (4), 479-495.

Whitley, B.E. (1997). Gender Differences in Computer-Related Attitudes and Behavior: A Meta-Analysis. *Computers in Human Behavior,* 13(1), 1-22.

POWER-SOLIDARITY RELATIONSHIP OF TEACHERS WITH THEIR FUTURE COLLEAGUES

Isıl Acikalin
Anadolu Universitesi, Turkey
iacikali@anadolu.edu.tr

ABSTRACT

Classroom talk is an example of institutional discourse, based on asymmetrical distribution of communicative rights and obligations between teachers and students. Teachers hold power and solidarity relationships with their students. It has been assumed that, in general, women are more concerned with solidarity while men are more interested in status and being powerful. In this study the interactions of 2 female and 2 male teachers of Mentally Disabled Department of Education Faculty are recorded during their face to face teaching. The study is centered on an analysis of 3 discourse features:the occurance of questions, rhetorical questions and first person plural usage. The results emphasized the existence of professional solidarity between the teachers and students of Mentally Disabled Department.

Keywords: Power-solidarity relationship, gender, sociolinguistics, linguistic differences of the teachers of Mentally Disabled Department

INTRODUCTION

The organization of classroom discourse is based on asymmetrical distribution of communicative rights and obligations between teachers and students. Teachers take turns at will, allocates turns to others, determines topics, interrupts and reallocates turns judged to be irrelevant to those topics and provides a running commentary on what is being said and meant.

Mchoul (Thornborrow 2002:111) notes that most of the talking is done by the teacher in monologic form so that the possibility for students to take equal turns is reduced. Hence, teacher occupies a role of authority and power structured by the demands of the curriculum. From this point of view, classroom talk is institutionally structured, and it can be considered also as a strategic discourse which is power laden and goal-directed, in contrast to ordinary conversational interaction between participants of equal status.

Classroom Discourse as Institutional Discourse

As for institutional discourse Thornborrow (2002:4) offers some of the primary characteristics which fulfil classroom discourse criteria:

1. It is a talk that has differentiated, pre-inscribed and conventional participant roles, or identities whereever it takes place such as in a school classroom.

2. It is a talk in which there is a structurally asymmetrical distribution of turn types between the participants such that spakers with different institutional identities typically occupy different discursive identities; that is, they get different types of turns in which they do different kinds of things (for eample, teachers nominate which pupil will talk next, pupils respond).

3. It is a talk in which there is also an asymmetrical relationship between participants in terms of speaker rights and obligations. This means that certain types of utterances are seen as legitimate for some speakers but not for others.

4. It is a talk in which the discursive resources and identities avaible to participants to accomplish specific actions are either weakened or strengthened in relation to their current institutional identities.

Consequently, classroom discourse is a form of interaction in which the relationship between a participant's current institutional role, that is the teacher's current discursive role such as asking questions, giving answers or opinions, emerges as a local phenomenon which shapes the organisation and trajectory of the talk.

Power and Solidarity Relationship in the Classroom

Teacher is in control of what happens in the classroom, in other words he/she has power of controlling the linguistic behaviors of students. This power is determined by the institutional role that the teacher undertakes. However, teacher has also solidarity relationship with students. In a joint activity, such as in the classroom, closeness necessarily brings teachers and students closer.

As Tannen (1996:22) claims power and solidarity are paradoxical relation with each other. That is, although power and solidarity closeness and distance seem at first to be opposites, each also entails the other. Any show of solidarity necessarily entails power at the same time, any show of power entails solidarity by involving participants in relation to each other.