Mersin University Journal of Maritime Faculty

Mersin University Journal of Maritime Faculty (MEUJMAF) Vol. 4, Issue 2, pp. 18-30, December 2022 ISSN 2687-6612, Turkey DOI: 10.47512/meujmaf.1200009 Research Article

THE IMPACT OF COVID-19 ON MARITIME TRADE AND TRANSPORTATION: AN ESTIMATION OF THE MARITIME TRADE POST-COVID-19

Volkan TOK*1 and Nur Jale ECE2

¹ Mersin University, Maritime Vocational School, Mersin, Turkey ORCID ID: 0000-0003-0452-1842 volkantok@mersin.edu.tr

² Mersin University Faculty of Maritime, Department of Maritime Business Administration, Mersin, Turkey ORCID ID: 0000-0003-2048-5458 jalenur@mersin.edu.tr

* Corresponding Author							
Received: 06/11/2022	Accepted: 27/12/2022						

ABSTRACT

The coronavirus which emerged in December 2019 has affected the health of people and the whole world's social and working life. This pandemic has impressed not only people's health and life but also the world economy and especially maritime trade. International organizations and maritime authorities such as World Health Organization (WHO) and International Maritime Organization (IMO) have taken several measures and responses to mitigate the impact the Covid-19 on the maritime industry. Taking precautions, implementing protocols, and complying with guidelines at ships and ports which helps to protect the health of seafarers, passengers, port workers, and the general public have adversely affected the entire world economy and international trade. The study aims to identify the impacts of Covid-19 on maritime trade and transportation and to estimate world maritime trade for the years 2023, 2025, and 2030. In the study, a literature review was conducted, the impact of the Covid-19 on world maritime trade, maritime transportation, port calls, and shipping companies have been examined and the least squares method which is a form of regression analysis was used to estimate maritime trade and container throughput for these years. According to the result of the analysis, it is estimated that the world maritime trade will be 24,100.8 million tons in 2023, 25,163.2 million tons in 2025, and 27,819.2 million tons in 2030, and the estimated total container throughput at container ports in the world will be 906,104.1 thousand TEU in 2023, 959,701.5 thousand TEU in 2025 and 1,093,695 thousand TEU in 2030. It has been concluded that the total global maritime trade and amount of containers handled will increase gradually.

Keywords: Maritime Trade, Maritime Transportation, Port Calls, Ports, Covid-19

1. INTRODUCTION

Nearly 90% of world trade is transported by ships with the maritime transport sector acting as a considerable adjuvant to the international economy. Maritime transport is the backbone of the world economy and trade. The UNCTAD has estimated that international maritime trade will decrease by 3.8 percent in 2020 and increase by 4.3 percent in 2021. (UNCTAD, 2022).

Shipping has been vital in terms of assurance supply lines around the globe and moving crucial stocks of fuel, food, and medical supplies from one direction to another while the Covid-19 pandemic. The European Maritime Safety Agency (EMSA) has investigated the impact of the pandemic on marine traffic. According to the report, the maritime transport sector was also affected by the pandemic in 2020. The European Maritime Safety Agency (EMSA) has investigated the impact of the pandemic on marine traffic (EMSA, 2022).

International organizations and maritime authorities take several measures to cut down on the effects of Covid-19 on the maritime industry. The precautions have been implemented by the maritime sector and authorities such as the International World Health Organization (WHO), the International Chamber of Shipping (ICS), the International Maritime Organization (IMO), the Labour Organization (ILO), etc. Precautions, protocols, and guidelines regarding the Covid-19 have been enforced onboard and in ports to save the health of mariners, passengers, stevedores, dock laborers, and the community. WHO prepared guidance such as "Operational considerations for managing COVID - 19 cases or outbreaks on board ships: interim guidance, 25 March 2020". IMO has issued several guidelines and circulars "Covid-19 Related Guidelines for Ensuring A Safe Shipboard Interface Between Ship And Shore-Based Personnel and "Operational considerations for managing the Covid-19 cases and outbreaks on board ships". The information notes on maritime Labour issues and coronavirus were published by ILO on 7 April 2020. Most governments have implemented national and local restrictions to mitigate the effect of Covid-19. The main measures are cleaning/disinfection of vessels and prevention of crew changes, shore leave and embarking or disembarking of crew or passengers, a refutation of port entry in case of emergency prevention of handling of cargo, taking water on board, food, fuel, and supplies and quarantine of ships (ICS, 2021).

In the study, the literature review was conducted, the impact of the pandemic on global maritime commerce, shipping transport, port calls, and shipping companies have been examined and the least squares method which is a form of regression analysis was used to estimate maritime trade and container throughput in 2023, 2025 and 2030.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The Covid-19 affected the maritime industry. Some incidences include border restrictions for airlines and port closure, disputes in laytime arbitration, decreased demand for freight, disagreements between shipowners and charterers of such vessels due to lack of time and resources, bankruptcy due to decreased demand, and the

failure to control the company's finances and reduced demand for shipping and freight. Covid-19 affected the shipping industry as follows: fall in ship supply, demand reduction of the container ship, reduction of dry bulk, lower fuel cost, reduce in demand tanker (Kumar and Jolly, 2021).

The number of liner transportation services, liner shipping operators, port calls on weekly, direct calls, and carrying capacity of ships deployed have decreased in the first half of 2020 at the regional level (Notteboom, 2021). There was a decrease in the amount of cargo handling at the container ports during the Covid-19 virus pandemic (Incaz and Karaköprü, 2021). Incaz performed a future forecast analysis for the container handling on a TEU basis in the Ambarlı port by using the Single Exponential Smoothing method. According to the result of the analysis, there will not be any significant change in the containers handled by Ambarlı Port in the coming years ((Incaz and Karaköprü, 2021).

The measurements taken to mitigate the effects of the pandemic have mostly negatively affected management/operation costs, disinfection costs, and crew changes due to 14 days quarantine requirement, the need to get a fit-to-travel certificate, negative test results for on/off signers, 14 days quarantine request for the vessels (Danışman and Akkartal 2021). The Covid-19 pandemic may require supplementary restrictions on ships such as stranger crew not being allowed onshore unless demands about sanitary elementary checks; the need for a medical emergency, and health documents of ship personnel before entry permit to most harbors, both personnel and passengers of cruise vessels are not permitted to step ashore and suspicious ships staying in 14 days quarantine. Precautions are carried out for suspicious vessels to remain in quarantine for 14 days and after these days tests are carried out (Notteboom and Pallis, 2020).

Dagestani has analyzed to measure the potential economic impacts of Covid-19 on trade volume between China and One Belt One Road countries by the Gravity Model. According to the findings of the study are that potential trade values between China and European Union will decrease by 11.5%, China and East Asia and Pacific (EAP) by 6,7%, China and the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) by 8.9%, China and South Asia (SAR) by15%, China and Europe and Central Asia (ECA) by 9% (Dagestani 2022).

The maritime sector and enhancing their resistance to future risks and disruptions adjusted their capacity. Harbor management took precautions to make sure that ports, transportation, and terminals, function well along the global supply chain and to sustain their management in response to the calls of the ship, arrangement the procedures and particular measures during the pandemic (Piñeiro 2021).

Verschuur et.al have mined the effects of Covid-19 by using Automatic Identification System (AIS) data for 1,153 ports including 166 countries worldwide by the geospatial position and features of marine vessels (from January 2019 - August 2020). They formed a novel high-frequency economic activity index using tentative vessel tracking data and utilized them to estimate the global maritime trade missing while the first eight months of the pandemic. They found that catholicly port-level commerce lacking, with the biggest missing found for harbors in China, the Middle East, and Western Europe, related to the collapse of particular supply chains (e.g. crude oil, vessel producing). In all, they presumed that global marine commerce decreased by -7.0% to -9.6% through the first eight months of 2020, which is equal to 225–412 billion USD in value losses and around 206–286 million tons in volume losses. The Covid-19 affected manufacturing sectors most seriously, with deprivations up to 11.8%. Besides, it is found that public and school transport shutdowns on exports in all countries have a significant negative effect of the Covid-19. In all, they indicated how real-time indexes of economic activity could enlighten policymakers on the effects of separate economic policies. (Verschuur et. all, 2021)

Most viewpoints of social culture like human-tohuman interactive relations and health care have been affected by the Covid-19 pandemic. Firstly, it is found that the Covid-19 has impacts on globalization. Secondly found that the "de-coupling" of the United States–China connection has consequences on present international systems and redirecting of the world economy. Thirdly is about the effects on global clarity impacts. The Covid-19 pandemic has an identical effect on the global economy with the maritime sector which is most wicked affected.

The pandemic has affected the international establishment's economic control and system of international and national precedencies. States and private sectors are concentrated on internal sources and mostly gentilic imminences (Permal, 2022). Yılmazkuday has researched the Covid-19 impacts on global economic activity using the pandemic's effects on the Baltic Exchange Dry Index (BDI) and crude oil prices (COP). He used a structural vector autoregression model for his research by wielding daily data between January 28th, 2020, and November 15th, 2021. Finally, it is found in the research rises in the Covid-19 incidences showing adverse request concussions in the worldwide economic movement (represented as decreases in COP) and adverse supply concussions in the worldwide carriage of commodities (represented as growth in BDI) (Yilmazkuday, 2022).

Koyuncu et. al, are conducted a study about modeling the Institute of Shipping Economics and Logistics (ISL) and the Leibniz-Institute für Wirtschaftsforschung (RWI) Container Throughput Index. They used the series of times, to find out the interaction across the short-term estimation results and the pandemic revealed in the beginning months of 2020. The study revealed that Covid-19 has a strong impression on marine commerce. With consideration of seasonal variations, RWI/ISL Container throughput Index is used in 89 main universal container ports. The decline will go on according to the three months' estimated results (Koyuncu et al., 2021).

Narasimha et al. investigated the impacts of the Covid-19 virus pandemic in India on marine supplychain areas and seaway shipping with its associated topics. They consulted the expert's views on the effect, preparedness, response, and recovery way about the Indian maritime-associated sector. It is found that there is a decrease in traffic and a shortening vessel traffic density throughout the Covid-19. According to expert survey results, there is a need of improving future strategies (Narasimha et al., 2021).

Cengiz and Turan (2021) have determined the

management impacts of the pandemic on the global maritime sector by Questionnaire Method. The questionnaires have been completed by 84 respondents in 21 countries around the world. Some findings of the study are that 34% of the companies emphasized that they were partly influenced, 31% of the companies were lightly influenced, 24% of the companies were heavily influenced and in contrast, 11% of the companies stated that they were not influenced by Covid-19. Other effects of the pandemic were lack of superintendents, difficulty in bringing onboard, reduced freight rates and servicemen due to the closure of the borders, canceled requests, modification of operating procedures, dividing employees into groups for time and location separation, reduction in the number of ship repair customers, more business, contract postponements/cancellations and clients not paying their bills (Cengiz and Turan 2021).

The Covid-19 virus has caused shockwaves and laid the world for a changing the global maritime sector and related supply-chain administration. The quarantine conditions and prohibitions, for workers crossing borders in certain countries that led to crew shifts and repatriations for mariners, especially those operating on cruise ships and yachts, are critical issues that harm the global maritime industry during the pandemic (Kumar and Jolly, 2021).

Zhang and Sun (2021) investigated how international organizations (IMO) member states, and associate members have embarked on maritime management (MM) measures to address dire situations in the context of the Covid-19 pandemic. According to the results of the analysis, the maritime transportation sector is damaged by an enormous organization because of the pandemic, triggering the export of four circular letters from IMO, which could impact the health of the crew, maintenance of the ship, and cargo transport. As a result of port isolation and traveling limitations, the crew shift crises raised and IMO invited its members to ease crew change, as required by maritime conventions. Through the period, IMO designated mariners as key workers, which promoted cooperation among member states and relieved the crew change crisis. After vaccination was developed marine transport sector entered the post-pandemic era (Zhang and Sun, 2021).

The throughput of container ports in Asia except China reduced by about 5.6% in 2020 during the Covid-19 pandemic. Many seafarers have suffered from Covid-19 due to a lack of availability of qualified nautical personnel and an increase in personnel costs (Yazır, et al., 2020). Xu et al. employ a panel linear regression model including panel data from 14 ports in China from January 2020 to October 2020 to study the key parameters influencing the cargo throughput in the Covid-19 pandemic content. One of the main results of the analysis is as follows: The severity of the epidemic has a significant adverse effect on both import and export commodity throughputs, further, the impact of the pandemic on import is greater than on export (Xu et.al, 2021).

Saral and Sanrı (2022) have conducted a bibliographic analyze the articles written on the the Covid-19 effects on marine logistics and harbors. Some of the analysis results areas are as follows; in the first quarter of the pandemic, the adverse effect of the Covid-19 on marine logistics was seen more. This effect may vary according to cruise ships, cargo ships, and the

regions where the harbors are located. In port and ship operations digitalization and new technology use need has become more significant (Saral and Sanrı, 2022).

The Covid-19 pandemic has strongly decreased economic development activities with an accompanying reduction in the number of and/or cancellation of cruise voyages (Choquet and Sam-Lefebvre, 2021). Most of the port calls were aborted; frequency, connectedness, and service quality decreased; and the volume of laid tonnage also increased, reaching high levels in six months of 2020 due to the pandemic. Liner shipping quickly adjusted supply to meet requests in the second half of 2020. (Cullinane and Haralambides, 2021).

The Covid-19 lockdown led to a 4% reduction in global Gross domestic product (GDP) and resulted in over \$5 trillion of output lost in 6 months. The Covid-19 expedites digitization and creates new digital opportunity structures which increase cyber risks (Kuhn et al., 2021). During the pandemic at the national level restrictions are adopted, which mariners could not disembark from ship to shore leave and carry out crew changes. These restrictions caused seafarers must stay onboard the ship for many months without disembarking, well beyond the specified limits. Such situations are unmaintainable for the safety and welfare of crewmembers and the safe operation of marine commerce (Doumbia-Henry, 2020).

Deb et al. investigate the economic effects of the Covid-19 repression precautions by using daily global data on repression measures, infections, and economic activity indicators. Some results of the study derive evidence that repression precautions have considerably reduced the amount of NO2 emissions. Cancellation of public events and school closures are the most effective in the pacification of the Covid-19 spread and are less costly in terms of their effect on economic activity (Deb et al., 2022).

Dirzka et al. (2022) have researched carriage geography and reverting management by network theory and investigated the precaution suggested to mitigate the reverting effects during the Covid-19. According to the analysis, the Covid-19 (at least in its beginning phases) has been a geographically constrained reverting: A single local source spreading to a limited number of additional clusters within the liner transportation network (Dirzka et al. 2022).

Secondary ports are the ports most influenced by blank sailings mostly, while the decrease in f calls to main hub ports was moderate. Most of the transportation enterprises have funded activities and substructures such as sea and midland terminals, and road transportation by vertical integration during the pandemic (Merk et. al. 2022).

The transport sector of maritime will come across many problems regarding the pandemic in forthcoming years, both directly through the effects of climate change on maritime operations and indirectly through demand changes that are affected by the geopolitical evolutions, and international health crises (Monios and Wilmsmeier, 2022).

The Covid-19 pandemic and economic shocks, such as the 2008–2009 financial-economic crisis, combined with rising international commerce controversies such as China–USA commerce interactions and stresses in present commencing blocks such as Brexit in Europe put into the sighted volatility in foreign commerce and commercial good volumes in harbors (Notteboom. and Haralambides, 2020). The UNCTAD impresses that China's maritime supply chains have come in sight to be tougher throughout the pandemic knowledge than other geographies (Tianming et. al., 2021). The personnel has been requested by port authorities in many countries to work from home. Technologies supported distant work such as virtual confidential networks, virtual meetings, work collaboration tools, voice-over-internet protocols, cloud technology, etc. (Keshta and Elmesmary, 2020).

The marine carriage sector recorded the highest missing, in TEU volume and finances (decrease in revenue and profits) in the first three quarters of 2020. It is estimated that maritime container companies may suffer a loss in the amount of 10 billion US dollars at the end of 2020. While the first months of 2020, the sector of global maritime transportation, especially container carriage was especially influenced by the impacts of the crisis due to the intensity of ports (lockdown) and the global supply chain's continuous disorganization (Grzelakowski, 2022). Polat and Bamyacı (2022) examined the causes of empty container movements and the effect of the economic crisis caused by the Covid-19 epidemic on empty container movements. According to the result of findings that the import/export gap for 2020 increased compared to previous years, and it was concluded that the increasing difference triggered the empty container movement by creating a trade imbalance (Polat and Bamyacı, 2022).

Peng and Chu (2009) applied container throughput data to six univariate forecasting models such as the seasonal dummy variables, the grey forecast, the hybrid grey forecast, and the SARIMA for the container throughput volumes in Taiwan's three major ports. They compared the predictive accuracy of the models by calculating the MAE, MAPE, and RMSE for each. The classical decomposition and SAR in the IMA model give the best results in estimating the container volume of Taichung Port (Peng, and Chu (2009).

3. THE IMPACT OF COVID-19 ON THE WORLD MARITIME TRADE

Global economic output collapsed by 3.5 percent (UNCTAD, 2021). In 2020, the global total trade raised 12.1 billion tons and the maritime trade raised 10.7 billion tons. Even though most nations have closed their borders, the share of the maritime transport sector in world commerce has reached 90% (IMEAK, 2021). UNCTAD projected international seaborne commerce has fallen by 5.4% in 2020 and merchandise commerce by 5.4 percent, while international maritime transportation fell by 3.8%, to 10.65 billion tons. (UNCTAD, 2021).

UNCTAD presumed that the volume of global maritime commerce decreased by 3.8% in 2020 and by 4.3 % in 2021. The Covid-19 virus pandemic has deduced new trends which will redesign marine carriage and commerce. Reducing the effect of self-enclosed manners on protectionism and commerce in the maritime sector, and ensuring sustainability and low carbon in maritime transportation will remain on the agenda (UNCTAD, 2020). The world maritime trade by cargo types is shown in Table 1.

In 2020, total goods handled decreased by 3.83%,

total goods loaded declined by 3.82 and total goods discharged by 3.84% in 2020 compared with 2019 due to the Covid-19. The world maritime trade by years is given in Table 2.

World total trade was realized as 13.33 billion in 2020 and world maritime trade amounted to 13.33 billion in 2020. In 2020, the Covid-19 pandemic negatively affected logistics supply chains, and production activities and turned the world economy upside down. Global maritime trade is estimated to be close to 2019 levels and recovering in 2021. In 2020, after the effects of the Covid-19, maritime trade increased by 3.2% and reached around 12 billion tons. At the beginning of 2022, global maritime trade is expected to increase by 3.5% and reach approximately 12.4 billion tons. Expectation trends in China and the Omicron variant of the Covid-19 potential impacts perpetuate risks in global maritime trade (IMEAK, 2021).

The World maritime trade by years is given in

Table 1.The world maritime trade by cargo types (Million tons)

Figure 1. World maritime trade by types of cargo is given in Table 3.

In 2020, the world maritime trade by all types of cargo decreased according to the previous year due to the pandemic. Expectation trends in China and the Omicron variant of the Covid-19 potential impacts perpetuate risks in global maritime trade.

4. THE IMPACT OF COVID-19 ON MARITIME TRANSPORTATION

In 2021, according to the Clarksons Research Company; approximately 1.524 million tons of iron ore, 1.239 million tons of coal, 530 million tons of grain, 2.086 million tons of small dry bulk cargo, 1.959 million tons of containers and 942 million tons of other dry cargo were transported by sea as shown in Table 4 (Clarksons Research February Seaborn; IMEAK, 2022).

Years/ Types of cargo	2013	2014	2015	2016	

Years/ Types of cargo	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Crude oil loaded	1,738	1,712	1,761	1,832	1,875	1,881	1,860	1,716
Other tanker trade loaded	1,091	1,121	1,178	1,238	1,279	1,320	1,303	1,202
Dry cargo loaded	6,625	6,983	7,074	7,176	7,560	7,818	7,908	7,730
Total goods loaded	9,453	9,816	10,013	10,247	10 714	11,019	11,071	10,648
Crude oil discharged	1,882	1,850	1,910	1,985	2,033	2,049	2,023	1,864
Other tanker trade discharged	1,091	1,088	1,175	1,235	1,288	1,339	1,320	1,222
Dry cargo discharged	6,511	6,782	6,879	7,083	7,366	7,629	7,712	7,545
Total goods discharged	9,483	9,720	9,965	10,303	10,687	11,017	11,055	10,631
Total goods handled	18,936	19,536	19,978	20,550	22,689	22,036	22,126	21,279

Source: UNCTADSTAT, 2022a

Table 2.	The world	maritime	trade	by years
----------	-----------	----------	-------	----------

Year	World total trade	World maritime trade	Maritime trade growth
_	(Billion Tons)	(Billion Tons)	rate (%)
2011	11.50	9.50	82.0
2012	11.80	9.90	84.0
2013	12.20	10.20	83.0
2014	12.50	10.56	84.0
2015	12.7	10.79	85.0
2016	12.95	11.12	86.0
2017	13.56	11.57	86.0
2018	13.95	11.89	86.0
2019	14.07	11.95	85.0
2020	13.33	11.54	87.0
2021*	14.11	11.95	85.0
2022*	14.77	12.37	84.0

* Estimated figures

Source: Clarksons Research Feb.2022; IMEAK, 2021



Figure 1. World maritime trade by years Source: Clarksons Research Feb.2022; IMEAK, 2021

Table 3.	World	maritime	trade by	v types	of cargo	(Metric	tons in	millions)	
				~ .	<i>u</i>	\			

Years/		Loa	ıded		Discharged			
Types of	Crude	Other	Dry	Total	Crude	Other tanker	Dry	Total
cargo	Oil	tanker	cargo	goods	oil	trade	cargo	goods
		trade		loaded				discharged
2010	1,785	968	5,649	8,401	1,939	971	5,454	8,364
2011	1,751	1,028	5,959	8,739	1,897	1,039	5,766	8,702
2012	1,785	1,055	6,357	9,197	1,930	1,056	6,129	9,115
2013	1,738	1,091	6,625	9,453	1,882	1,091	6,511	9,483
2014	1,712	1,121	6,983	9,816	1,850	1,088	6,782	9,720
2015	1,761	1,178	7,074	10,013	1,910	1,175	6,879	9,965
2016	1,832	1,238	7,176	10,247	1,985	1,235	7,083	10,303
2017	1,875	1,279	7,560	10,714	2,033	1,288	7,366	10,687
2018	1,881	1,320	7,818	11,019	2,049	1,339	7,629	11,017
2019	1,860	1,303	7,908	11,071	2,023	1,320	7,712	11,055
2020	1,716	1,202	7,730	10,648	1,864	1,222	7,545	10,631

Source: UNCTADSTAT, 2022a

Table 4. The World maritime transport by cargo types (Million tons)

Million tons	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
								(Nearly)	(Est.)
Iron Ore	1,340	1,364	1,418	1,472	1,475	1,455	1,502	1,524	1,525
Coal	1,217	1,138	1,141	1,203	1,264	1,284	1,165	1,239	1,259
Grain	409	430	450	476	475	478	512	530	544
Minor dry	1,847	1,891	1,880	1,936	2,012	2,036	1,990	2,086	2,135
bulk									
Crude Oil	1,807	1,875	1,957	2,019	2,030	2,008	1,860	1,829	1,963
Petroleum	943	1,012	1,058	1,075	1,087	1,033	908	962	1,017
Products									
Gas	332	344	371	399	433	478	480	507	529
Chemical	298	314	321	342	362	371	365	371	389
Container	1,557	1,591	1,666	1,761	1,838	1,879	1,851	1,959	2,045
Other dry bulk	809	830	855	888	914	927	903	942	966
Total	10,560	10,788	11,117	11,571	11,889	11,949	11,538	11,951	12,373
Percentage	3.4%	2.2%	3.0%	4.1%	2.7%	0.5%	-3.4%	3.6%	3.5%
change									

Source: Clarksons Research February Seaborn; IMEAK, 2022.

Asian container line volume reached 41.5 million TEU in 2021. Trade flows from the Far East to North America and Europe reached 23.6 million TEUs and 14.7 million TEUs in 2021, respectively. The world container trade is estimated to grow by %3.9 in 2022-2025 (Statista, 2022). In 2020, international marine transportation was reduced by 3.6% on a per-ton basis due to the pandemic. Clarkson Research predicts world shipping will increase by 4.2% in 2021 and 3% in 2022. While the pandemic, access to fundamental cargoes and medical materials were secured through the maritime supply chain. Key stakeholders in the maritime supply chain carried out many responses and risk reduction precautions to overcome the disruption and maintain link supply chains and enable smooth cargo flows (UNCTAD, 2022).

Major responses and measures to mitigate the effects of the Covid-19 on maritime transport and logistic sectors are making use of international recommendations and directives, including safety and health protocols; preparing emergency and operational/business continuity plans; -improving organizational capacity, relevant know-how, and skills; enabling telecommuting arrangements; facilitating and prioritizing the flow of fundamental cargoes; enhancing collaboration between relevant stakeholders, service providers, and suppliers; to improve international cooperation between government authorities and actors of the maritime supply-chain and other relevant stakeholders; enabling effective communications and implementing technology and digital solutions that decrease physical transaction; expedite clearance procedures, and minimize paperbased processes; improving hinterland transport connectivity and struggling physical and management bottlenecks (UNCTAD, 2022).

5. THE IMPACT OF COVID-19 ON PORT CALLS

The number of port calls in the world decreased by 10% in 2020 compared to 2019 due to the Covid-19 and increased by 1% in 2021 compared to 2020 as given in Table 5. In 2020, the number of port calls in all regions decreased compared to 2019 and increased in 2021 except in Oceania (UNCTADSTAT, 2022b). The number of port calls by region and the median time spent by ships in port (days) are given in Table 5 and Table 6 respectively. (UNCTADSTAT, 2022b; UNCTADSTAT, 2022c).

The median time spent on all ships is 1.05 days in port in 2021. Container ships spent the least amount of time in port in 2021(0.80) as given in Table 6.

Region/Year	2018	2019	2020	2021
Africa	93,340	101,788	83,888	88,743
America	535,288	568,631	495,696	525,937
Asia	1,274,527	1,402,937	1,292,554	1,401,454
Europe	2,120,489	2,193,392	1,950,851	2,190,815
Oceania	89,288	95,974	83,987	79,255
Developing Regions	1,273.926	1,406,876	1 269 362	1,377,924
Developed Regions	2,839,018	2,955,861	2,637,625	2,908,280
World Total	4,112,944	4,362,737	3,906,987	4,286,204
Source:UNCTADSTA	T, 2022b; UN	ICSTAD, 202	22c	

 Table 5. Number of port calls by regions

Table 6. The median time spent by ships in port (days)

Type of Ships/Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	
All ships	0.97	0.97	1.00	1.05	
Liquid bulk carriers	0.94	0.93	0.97	0.98	
Liquefied petroleum gas carriers	1.02	1.01	1.04	1.03	
Liquefied natural gas carriers	1.11	1.11	1.12	1.13	
Dry bulk carriers	2.05	2.01	2.07	2.11	
Dry breakbulk carriers	1.11	1.10	1.15	1.17	
Container ships	0.70	0.69	0.71	0.80	
					-

Source: UNCTADSTAT, 2022b

The Shanghai Port which is the largest container handling port in the world handled 47 million TEU in 2021 as given in Table 7.

Container Ports/Year	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Shanghai, China	36.54	37.13	40.23	42.01	43.30	43.50	47.00
Singapore	30.96	30.90	33.67	36.60	37.20	36.6	37.50
Ningbo-Zhoushan	20.59	21.56	24.61	26.35	27.49	28.72	31.1
Shenzhen, China	24.20	23.97	25.21	25.74	25.77	26.55	28.8
Guangzhou Harbor	17.46	18.90	20.37	21.87	23.23	23.19	24.2
Busan, South Korea	19.30	19.85	20.49	21.66	21.99	21.59	22.7
Qingdao, China	17.47	18.01	18.30	18.26	21.01	22.00	23.7
Hong Kong, S.A.R, China	20.11	17.95	18.30	19 60	20.76	19.81	17.8
Tianjin, China	14.11	14.49	15.07	16.00	17.30	18.35	20.3
Rotterdam	12.24	12.38	13.73	14.51	14.82	14.35	15.3

Table 7. The top 10 world container ports (Million TEU)

Source: WSC, 2021; Bansard, 2021; Ece, 2020

Limitations, such as port closures and reduced flights, have left many seafarers stranded on board. Many sailors had to extend their service on board. Some countries did not allow crew changes. In 2020, terminal operators and intermodal transport providers had to spend more time in ports due to the Covid-19 (UNCTAD, 2022).

6. THE IMPACT OF COVID-19 ON SHIPPING COMPANIES

The governments have taken strict precautions about against the Covid-19, which has negatively changed our lifestyles. These precautions affected most of the sectors such as the maritime transportation sector in which cruise companies were affected most. Carnival Corporation (CCL) a publicly traded cruise company listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) has been specifically investigated. Golden Ocean (GOGL), on the other hand, is a publicly held corporation operating in the maritime sector with 92 dry cargo ships listed on NASDAQ. These two types of shipping companies' stock prices and trading volumes have been investigated and it is found that the price of CCL and GOGL has dropped drastically and the trading volume has increased highly. After vaccine approval, it has been observed that the average value of the CCL stock has increased (Yazır and Yay, 2022).

Beyazgül et al. (2022) analyzed the impact of the Covid-19 outbreak on the liquidity and financial failure risk of the land, maritime, and air passenger transport sectors in Turkey. On the sector balance sheets published by the Central Bank of the Republic of Turkey, the liquidity risks of the Sea and Coastal Water Passenger Transport sectors for the years 2019-2020 were analyzed. As a result of the analysis, it has been seen that the maritime sectors examined have high liquidity and financial failure risks (Beyazgül et al., 2022).

The Covid-19 hit the global economy in the early months of 2020 and then has adversely affected the shipping companies' improvement such as shipping operators, passengers, port operators, government authorities, mariners, shippers, and supply chain operators. Dry bulk, tanker, container, and cruiser sector are chosen to find out the newest difficulties and asses potential solutions for the maritime industry. It has been found that shipping companies have encountered operational losses and incommodity because of health and safety precautions and potential operational risk could be decreased with effective Port State Inspections in the post-Covid 19 eras (Yazır et al., 2020).

The Covid-19 crisis has had a serious impact on the maritime transport sector. Cruise companies and ferry services had the most affected sectors due to the pandemic. Dry cargo and tanker transport also faced demand declines and challenges during the pandemic (Cullinane, and Haralambides, 2021).

7. METHODOLOGY

Basic forecasting techniques are separated into two parts qualitative methods and quantitive methods. The qualitative methods are Delphi Metod, Market Research, Panel Consensus, Visionary Forecast, Historical Analogy, etc. The quantitative methods are Time Serious Analyses and Projections such as Moving Average, Exponential Smooting, The Box-Jenkins Model, X-11 Procedure, Trend Projections, etc. The Causal Methods are Regression Model, Econometric Model, Input-Output Model, Diffusion Index, etc.).

In the study regression analysis with the least squares method which is the most reliable of the trend methods has been used to minimize the errors.

Regression analysis is the analysis of the relationship between the dependent and independent variable as it depicts how the dependent variable will change when one or more independent variable changes due to factors, the formula for calculating it is;

Y = a + bx + e, where Y is dependent variable, x is the independent variable.

The least squares method which is a form of regression analysis was used to determine the position of the trend, \pm the least squares method was used to determine the line of best fit for a set of data, and estimate the value of y at a value of x.

Assuming the trend is linear, The equation of the least square line is given below (Akdeniz, 2016):

$$\hat{Y}=a+bx \tag{1}$$

where Y is the dependent variable, X is the independent variable.

Normal equations for "a" and "b" are given below, respectively:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i = an + b \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$
(2)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i} = a \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} + b \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}$$
(3)

Since the number of years is odd and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 0$, the

normal equations are given below:

$$na = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \tag{4}$$

$$b\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i$$
(5)

The data used in the study were obtained from the UNCTADSTAT data center.

8. RESULTS

Maritime trade figures by cargo types between 2010-2020- and the values related to the least square equations are given in Table 8.

Since the number of years is odd, the year that coincides with the middle is taken as the starting year and shown with zero as given in Table 8.

The world maritime trade (million tons) and the least squares equation values are given in Table 8 (UNCTADSTAT, 2022a). The estimated values of global maritime trade assume that the Covid-19 pandemic does not continue severely.

Table 8. The world maritime trade (million tons) and the least squares equation values

Types of	Total cargo	Year code			Year code		
cargo/ i car	(Y)	(X)	XY	X^2	Х	XY	X^2
2010	16,765	0	0	0	-5	-83,825	25
2011	17,441	1	17,441	1	-4	-69,764	16
2012	18,312	2	36,624	4	-3	-54,936	9
2013	18,936	3	56,808	9	-2	-37,872	4
2014	19,536	4	78,144	16	-1	-19,536	1
2015	19,978	5	99,890	25	0	0	0
2016	20,550	6	123,300	36	1	20,550	1
2017	21,401	7	149,807	49	2	42,802	4
2018	22,036	8	176,288	64	3	66,108	9
2019	22,126	9	199,134	81	4	88,504	16
2020	21,279	10	212,790	100	5	106,395	25

The calculations in million tons basis are given below:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{11} Y_i = 218,360 \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{11} X_i = 55 \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{11} X^2 = 385$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{11} X_i Y_i = 1,150,226 \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{11} X = 0$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{11} XiY_i = 58,426 \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{11} Xi^2 = 110$$

The normal equations for "a" and "b" are given below by using formulas 2 and 3:

I. 218,360 = 11a + 55bII. 1,150,226 = 55a + 385b

$$a = 17,195.2$$
 $b = 531.2$

According to the values of a and b, the trend line has a value of 17,195.2. The estimated change in maritime trade on a million-ton basis is 531.2. Using the regression equation below, The estimated maritime trade in million tons for the years 2023, 2025, and 2030 is given below:

 $\hat{Y}_i = a + bx = 17.195,2 + 531.2 x$

 $\hat{Y}_{2023} \!=\! 17,\!195.2 + 531.2 \; (2023\text{-}2010) \!=\! 24,\!100.8 \; \text{million}$ tons

 $\hat{Y}_{2025} = 17,195.2 + 531.2 \ (2025-2010) = 25,163.2 \ million$ tons

 $\hat{Y}_{2030} = 17,195.2 + 531.2$ (2030-2010) =27,819.2 million tons

According to the result of the analysis, it is estimated that the world maritime trade will be 24,100.8 million tons in 2023, 25,163.2 million tons in 2025, and 27,819.2 million tons in 2030.

Total container throughput at container ports in the world (million TEU) and least squares equation values are given in Table 9 (UNCTADSTAT, 2022d). The estimated values of global maritime trade and port cargo volumes on a TEU basis assume that the Covid-19 pandemic does not continue severely.

Types of	Total	Year code			Year code		
cargo/Year	container throughput (Y)	(X)	XY	X^2	Х	XY	X^2
2010	541.759	0	0	0	-5	- 2.708.795	25
2011	582.988	1	582.988	1	-4	- 2.331.952	16
2012	616.566	2	1.233.132	4	-3	- 1.849.698	9
2013	647.306	3	1.941.918	9	-2	- 1.294.612	4
2014	677.551	4	2.710.204	16	-1	- 677.551	1
2015	688.838	5	3.444.190	25	0	0	0
2016	700.974	6	4.205.844	36	1	700.974	1
2017	754.208	7	5.279.456	49	2	1.508.416	4
2018	792.470	8	6.339.760	64	3	2.377.410	9
2019	807.330	9	7.265.970	81	4	3.229.320	16
2020	798.869	10	7.988.690	100	5	3.994.345	25

Table 9. Total container throughput at container ports in the world (thousand TEU) and the least squares equation values

Source: UNCTADSTAT, 2022d

The calculations in million TEU are given below:

$\sum_{i=1}^{11} Y_i = 7,608,859$	$\sum_{i=1}^{11} X_i = 55$	$\sum_{i=1}^{11} X^2 = 385$
$\sum_{i=1}^{11} X_i Y_i = 40,992,152$	$\sum_{i=1}^{11} X_i = 0$	
$\sum_{i=1}^{11} XiYi = 2,947,857$	$\sum_{i=1}^{11} Xi^2 \!=\! 110$	

The normal equations for "a" and "b" are given below by using formulas 2 and 3:

I. 7,608,859 = 11a + 55b

II. 40,992,152 = 55a + 385b

The estimated total container throughput at container ports in the world (TEU) for the years 2023, 2025, and 2030 are given below:

a = 557,720.955 b = 26,798.700

 $\hat{Y}_i = a + bx = 557,721.955 + 26,798.700 X$

 $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{2023} = 557,721.955 + 26,798.700 \ (2023-2010) = 906.104,1 \ thousand \ TEU$

 $\hat{Y}_{2025} = 557,721.955 + 26,798.700 (2025-2010) = 959,701,5$ thousand TEU

 $\hat{Y}_{2030} = 557,721.955 + 26,798.700$ (2030-2010) =1,093,695 thousand TEU

According to the result of the analysis, it estimated that the total container throughput at container ports in the world will be 906.104,1 thousand TEU in 2023, 959,701,5 thousand TEU in 2025, and 1,093,695 thousand TEU in 2030.

As given in Figure 2, it is expected that the total amount of containers handled in the world will increase gradually.



Figure 2. The total container throughput in 2014-2030 Source: UNCTADSTAT, 2022d

9. CONCLUSION

World total trade volume reached more than 12 billion tons and global maritime trade volume reached 10.7 billion tons in 2020. UNCTAD has estimated that international maritime trade will decrease by 3.8 percent in 2020 and increase by 4.3 percent in 2021. Many countries closed their borders during the the Covid-19 days. The Covid-19 adversely impressed maritime trade and transportation, ports, logistics supply chains, production activities, and the global economy.

During the Covid-19, major ports around the world have implemented a 14-day quarantine period for ships coming from or transiting through the impacted areas, and many ports have stopped cruise ship calls. Cargo vessels were exposed to increase maritime health declarations and monitoring needs. Strict restrictions were placed on crew landing, shore clearance, and substitution. Limitations, such as port closures and reduced flights, have left many seafarers stranded on board. Many sailors had to extend their service on board. Some countries did not allow crew changes. Terminal operators and intermodal transport providers had to spend more time in ports in 2020 due to the Covid-19.

The Covid-19 pandemic has revealed new trends which will reconfigure marine transportation and commerce. The Covid-19 has affected the use of technology in shipping. The maritime and logistics industries have invested in technologies such as digital solutions, artificial intelligence and automation in the Covid-19 period.

According to the result of the analysis, It is estimated that the world maritime trade will be 24,100.8 million tons in 2023, 25,163.2 million tons in 2025, and 27,819.2 million tons in 2030; the estimated total container throughput at container ports in the world will be 906.104,1 thousand TEU in 2023, 959,701,5 thousand TEU in 2025 and 1,093,695 thousand TEU in 2030. It has been concluded that the total global maritime trade and amount of containers handled will gradually increase.

Future, studies may be conducted on the cost of the maritime sector of the Covid-19. It is thought that the study will contribute to future research and the literature related to the impact of the Covid-19 on the maritime and logistics sectors.

REFERENCES

Akdeniz, F. (2016). Olasılık ve İstatistik (Probability and Statistics). Akademisyen Kitabevi, Ankara.

Bansard International (2021). "Global Top 30 Container Ports in 2021". https://www.bansard.com/en/news/global-top-30container-ports-2021, [Accessed 22 October 2022].

Beyazgül, M., Öcek, C. and Karadeniz, E. (2022). "Covid-19 Salgınının Kara, Deniz ve Havayolu Yolcu Taşımacılığı Sektörlerinin Likidite ve Finansal Başarısızlık Risklerine Etkisinin Analizi." Güncel Turizm Araştırmaları Dergisi, No. 6(1), pp282-300.

Cengiz H. and Turan E. (2021). "Business Impact of Covid-19 Pandemic on Global Maritime Industry". *Journal of Naval Sciences and Engineering*, Vol. 17, No.,1 pp.43-75.

Choquet A.and Sam-Lefebvre (2021). "Ports closed to cruise ships in the context of COVID-19: What choices are there for coastal states?". *Annals of Tourism Research*, No. 86, pp. 1-10.

Cullinane K. and Haralambides H. (2021). "Global trends in maritime and port economics: the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond". *Maritime Economics & Logistics*, No. 23, pp. 369-380.

Dagestani, A., A. (2022). "An Analysis of the Impacts of COVID-19 and Freight Cost on Trade of the Economic Belt and the Maritime Silk Road". *International Journal of Industrial Engineering & Production Research*. Vol. 33, No. 1, pp.1-16.

Danişman, M. and Akkartal, E. (2020). "Effects of Covid-19 Pandemy Over Maritime Transport: An Evaluation of Supply-demand Balance". *Archives of Business Review*, Vol. 8, No. 12, pp. 83-97.

Deb P. Furceri D., Ostry J.D. and Tawk N. (2022). "The Economic Effects of COVID-19 Containment Measures". *Open Economies Review* 33, pp. 1-32.

Dirzka C. and Acciaro (2022). "Global shipping network dynamics during the COVID-19 pandemic's initial phases". *Journal of Transport Geography*, Vol. 99, pp.1-15.

Doumbia-Henry C. (2020). "Shipping and COVID-19: protecting seafarers as frontline workers WMU". *Journal of Maritime Affairs*, Vol. 19, pp. 279-293.

Ece, N.J. (2020). Covid-19 Salgınının Konteyner Taşımacılığı ve Limanlarına Etkisi. Mersin Üniversitesi Denizcilik ve Lojistik Araştırmaları Dergisi, 2(2), 47-66.

European Maritime Safety Agency (EMSA) (2022). "COVID-19: impact on the maritime sector in the EU", https://www.emsa.europa.eu/newsroom/item/4407covid19.html, [Accessed 25 October 2022].

Grzelakowski, A.S. (2022). "The Covid-19 Pandemic – Challenges for Maritime Transport and Global Logistics Supply Chains". *The International Journal on Marine Navigation and Safety of Sea Transportation (TransNav)*, Vol. 16 No. 1, pp. 71-77.

International Chamber of Shipping (ICS) (2021). "Coronavirus (COVID-19): Guidance for Ship Operators for the Protection of the Health of Seafarers". Third Edition, https://www.icsshipping.org/publication/coronavirus-Covid-19guidance-for-ship-operators-for-the-protection-of-thehealth-of-seafarers-v3/, /, [Accessed 25 October 2022].

Incaz, S and Karaköprü, U.O. (2021). "The Importance of Ambarlı Port in Container Handling in Turkey; Forecasting Analysis for the Near Future and the Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic". *Journal of Urban Culture and Management (Kent Akademi)*, Vol. 14, No. 4, pp.899-910.

İstanbul&Marmara, Agean, Mediterraneanand Black SeaRegions Chamber of Shipping (İstanbul ve Marmara,Ege,Akdeniz,Karadeniz Bölgeleri Deniz Ticaret Odası)-IMEAK (2021). MaritimeSector Report (Denizcilik Sektör Raporu), 37-39, https://www.denizticaretodasi.org.tr/media/SharedDocu ments/sektorraporu/sektor_raporu_tr_2021.pdf., [Accessed 25 Otober 2022].

IMEAK (2022). MaritimeSector Report (Denizcilik Sektör Raporu 2022, 37-39, https://www.denizticaretodasi.org.tr/media/SharedDocu ments/sektorraporu/sektor_raporu_en_2022.pdf.pdf [Accessed 25 Otober 2022].

Keshta J. and Elmesmary H. (2020). "Investigating the impact of COVID-19 on maritime supply chain sustainability and technology: a review". *ICAMS 2020 – 8th International Conference on Advanced Materials and Systems*, https://doi.org/10.24264/icams-2020.III.10, Romania, Bucharest, pp. 315.

Koyuncu, K. Tavacıoğlu, L. Gökmen, N. Arican, U. Ç. (2021). "Forecasting COVID-19 impact on RWI/ISL container throughput index by using SARIMA models". *Maritime Policy & Management*, Vol. 48, No. 8, pp. 1096-1108.

Kuhn K. Bicakci S. and Shaikh A. (2021). "COVID-19 digitization in maritime: understanding cyber risks". *WMU Journal of Maritime Affairs*, Vol. 20, No.2, pp. 193-214.

Kumar, S. and Jolly, A. (2021). "Consequences of COVID-19 pandemic on global maritime trade industry". *International Maritime Health*, Vol.72, No.1, 82-83.

Merk O., Hoffmann J. and Haralambides H. (2022). "Post-COVID-19 scenarios for the governance of maritime transport and ports". *Maritime Economics & Logistics*, pp. 673-685.

Monios J. and Wilmsmeier G. (2022). "Maritime governance after COVID-19: how responses to market developments and environmental challenges lead towards". *Maritime Economics & Logistics*, pp.699-722.

Narasimha, P. T., Jena, P. R., Majhi, R.(2021). "Impact of COVID-19 on the Indian seaport transportation and maritime supply chain". *Transport Policy*, Vol. 110, pp. 191-203.

Notteboom, T. and Pallis, T. (2020). "IAPH-WPSP Port Economic Impact Barometer". *IAPH Report*, https://sustainableworldports.org/wp-content/uploads/ IAPH-WPSP-Port-Economic-Impact-Barometer-20-21-View.pdf., [Accessed 25 October 2022].

Notteboom T. and Haralambides H. E. (2020). "Port management and governance in a post-COVID-19 era: quo Vadis?". *Maritime Economics & Logistics*, Vol. 22, pp. 329-352.

Notteboom, T., Pallis, T. and Rodrigue, J.P. (2021). "Disruptions and resilience in global container shipping and ports: the COVID-19 pandemic versus the 2008– 2009 financial crisis". *Maritime Economics&Logistics*, Vol. 23, pp. 179-210.

Peng, WY and Chu, CW (2009). "A comparison of univariate methods for forecasting container throughput volumes". *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, No.50, 1945, 1045-1057.

Permal, S. (2022)." Cascading effect of COVID-19: deglobalization and its impact on global governance". *Australian Journal of Maritime & Ocean Affairs*, Vol. 14, No. 3, pp. 220-228.

Piñeiro, L.C., Mejia M. Q. and Ballini F. (2021). "Beyond COVID-19: the future of maritime transport". WMU Journal of Maritime Affairs, Vol. 20, pp. 127-133.

Polat, D. and Bamyacı M. (2022). "COVID-19 Salgınının Boş Konteyner Hareketlerine Etkisi Üzerine Bir Araştırma". Lojistik Dergisi, No.55, pp.18-38.

Saral, G.A. and Sanrı, Ö. (2022). "A Bibliometric Analysis of The Impact of COVID-19 on Maritime Logistics and Ports" *The Journal of International Scientific Researches*, Vol.7, No. 2, pp. 131-148.

Statista (2022). "Global container trade in 2021, by trade lane". https://www.statista.com/statistics/1130550/global -container-trade-by-trade-lane/ [Accessed 25 October 2022].

The United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) (2020). Review of Maritime Transport 2020. Geneva: UNCTAD, XİV, 1-2, https://unctad.org/system/files/official-document/rmt2021_en_0.pdf., [Accessed 18 October 2022].

The World Health Organization (2020). "Handbook for the management of public health events on board ships", https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/handbook-formanagement-of-public-health-events-on-board-ships, [Accessed 22 October 2022].

Tianming, G., Erokhin, V., Arskiy, A. and Khudzhatov, M. (2021). "Has the COVID-19 Pandemic Affected Maritime Connectivity? An Estimation for China and the Polar Silk Road Countries. MDPI", *Sustainability*, Vol. 13, pp. 1-39.

UNCTAD (2021). COVID-19 and maritime transport: Impact and responses, Transport and Trade Facilitation Serious No 15, Geneva: United Nations Publications. https://unctad.org/system/files/officialdocument/dtltlbinf 2020d1_en.pdf, 14-24.

UNCTAD (2022). "Review of Maritime Transport 2021", https://unctad.org/system/files/official-document/ rmt2021_en_0.pdf, [Accessed 25 October 2022].

UNCTADSTAT(2022a). "World seaborne trade by types of Cargo and by a group of economies, annual Table summary", https://unctadstat.unctad.org/wds/ TableViewer/tableView.aspx?ReportId=32363, [Accessed 20 October 2022].

UNCTADSTAT(2022b). "Number of port calls", https://unctadstat.unctad.org/wds/TableViewer/tableVie w.aspx?ReportId=194889, [Accessed 20 October 2022].

UNCTADSTAT(2022c). "Port call and performance statistics: time spent in ports, vessel age and size, annual", https://unctadstat.unctad.org/wds/TableViewer/ tableView.aspx, [Accessed 18 October 2022].

UNCTADSTAT(2022d). "Container port throughput", https://unctadstat.unctad.org/wds/TableViewer/tableVie w.aspx?ReportId=13321, [Accessed 22 October 2022].

Verschuur, J.; Koks, E. E.; Hall, J.W. (2021). "Global economic impacts of COVID-19 lockdown measures stand out in high-frequency shipping data". *PLoS* ONE, Vol.16, No. 4, pp. 1-16.

World Shipping Council (WSC) (2021). "The Top 10 World Container Ports, https://www.worldshipping.org/ top-50-ports, [Accessed 28 October 2022].

Xu, L., Yang, S., Chen, J. And Shi, J. (2021). "The effect of COVID-19 pandemic on port performance: Evidence

from China". Ocean and Coastal Management, Vol. 209, pp.1-6.

Yazır, D.; Şahin, B.; Yip, T.L. and Po-Hsing Tseng, P,H.(2020). "Effects of COVID-19 on the maritime industry: a review". *Via Medica*, Vol. 71, No. 4, pp. 253-264.

Yazır, D. and Yay, S. (2022). "The Economical Effects of COVID-19 on Publicly Listed Companies Operating in the Commercial Shipping Sector: A Special Research Based on Carnival Corporation and Golden Ocean Group Companies". Mersin University Journal of Maritime and Logistics Research, Vol. 4, pp. 91-111.

Yılmazkuday, H (2022). "Coronavirus disease 2019 and the global economy". *Transport Policy*, Vol. 120, pp. 40-46.